





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1459
Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/049,907	02/20/2002	Ulrich Muller	219667US	2391
22850 7.	590 08/25/2003			
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			, EXAMINER	
	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		SAMPLE, DAVID R	
	•	_	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	1755	
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						
	Application N .	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/049,907	MULLER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE Sabin communication and	David Sample	1755				
The MAILING DATE f this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>May</u>						
,	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-8 and 10</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,11 and 12</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.5 	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C § 112

Claim 8 is rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claiming the invention sought to be patented.

Claim 8 provides for the use of the solid preparable by claim 1, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

35 U.S.C. § 101

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/049,907

Art Unit: 1755

Page 3

Claims 1-3, 5-8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by Hasenzahl et al. (EP 893 158).

The one-year grace period of § 102(b) is measured from the U.S. filing date. See MPEP 2133. The U.S. filing date of an application filed under § 371 is the PCT filing date. See MPEP 506. Therefore, the 102(b) date of the instant application is August 8, 2000, and Hasenzahl et al. (EP 893 158) qualifies as prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b).

Hasenzahl et al., EP 893 158, is in German. Therefore, the column and line numbers of the English language equivalent US 6,106,803 will be cited in the below rejection.

Hasenzahl et al. discloses a method of making a zeolitic material (titanium silicalite-1) in which a reaction mixture is crystallized, and the resultant crystallization mixture is directly fed to a spray dryer (i.e., a drying stage). See the title and abstract.

The spray-drying medium is air or an <u>inert</u> atmosphere. See col. 4, lines 35-40. The disclosure of the "inert" atmosphere anticipates the recitation that the spray drying be conducted in an atmosphere containing less than 10 vol.% oxygen, since an inert atmosphere would have no oxygen (an oxidizer).

As to claim 3, and 10, the reaction mixture contains tetrapropylammonium hydroxide which is a templating compound. See col. 3, line 45-52.

As to claims 6 and 7, the reference discloses forming titanium silicalite-1, which possesses the MFI studies. See the abstract.

Application/Control Number: 10/049,907

Art Unit: 1755

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 4

Claim 9 is allowed. Claims 4, 11 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a

rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art fails to disclose or suggest

a method of making a zeolitic material by directly drying the reaction mixture, wherein the

template in the carrier gas is condensed prior to drying.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to David Sample whose telephone number is (703)308-3825. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mark Bell can be reached on (703)308-3823. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

David Sample Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1755